Section 9

Steam System Optimization – Condensate Recovery

Types of Steam Traps
Steam Trap Management Program
SSAT Evaluations & Economic Impacts
Evaluation of Condensate Recovery Systems
Condensate Flash Tanks
Condensate Tank Vents
SSAT Evaluations & Economic Impacts

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Generic Steam System



Source: US DOE ITP Steam BestPractices Program

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Steam Traps

- Traps serve several vital operating functions for a steam system
  - During start-up, they allow air and large quantities of condensate to escape
  - During normal operation, they allow collected condensate to pass into the condensate return system, while minimizing (or eliminating) loss of steam
- There are different kinds of steam traps and hence, functionality and principles of operation must be understood
- All plants should have an effective steam trap management program
- Steam trap failures may not result in energy loss per se, but they will surely result in system operation problems and reliability issues

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Types of Steam Traps

- Thermostatic Traps
  - Bellows*
  - Bimetallic*
- Mechanical Traps
  - Ball Float
  - Float and Lever
  - Inverted Bucket*
  - Open Bucket
  - Float and Thermostatic*
- Thermodynamic Traps
  - Disc*
  - Piston
  - Lever
- Orifice Traps
  - Orifice Plate
  - Venturi Tube

* Most commonly used

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Thermostatic Steam Traps

- Responds to temperature changes
- A bellows (with a volatile fluid) or a bimetallic strip closes the valve with high temperature steam
- When condensate (typically, sub-cooled) collects – the bellows contracts and opens the valve to let condensate drain out



Source: US DOE ITP Steam BestPractices Program

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Thermostatic Steam Traps

- Opens to subcooled condensate
- Depending on subcooling can discharge condensate or condensate and flash steam
- Allows energy recovery from condensate
- Significant air removal capability



Source: US DOE ITP Steam BestPractices Program

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Mechanical Steam Traps

- Opens to saturated and/or sub-cooled condensate
- Will discharge condensate and flash steam
- Significant air removal and startup capabilities
- Modulating type operation



Float & Thermostatic Trap (F&T)

Source: US DOE ITP Steam BestPractices Program

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Mechanical Steam Traps



Inverted Bucket Trap (Open Float)

- Opens to saturated and/or sub-cooled condensate
- Will discharge condensate and flash steam
- Limited air removal and startup capabilities
- Application in superheated steam service should be questioned
- Intermittent operation

Source: US DOE ITP Steam BestPractices Program

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Thermodynamic Steam Traps

- Works on the difference in kinetic energy (velocity) between condensate and steam to operate a valve
- Opens to saturated condensate
- Will discharge condensate and flash steam
- Intermittent operation
- Can be equipped with thermostatic element to improve air removal



Disc Trap

Source: US DOE ITP Steam BestPractices Program

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Orifice Steam Traps

- No moving parts
- Continuous operation
- Common applications are steady loads
- Limited air removal capability due to orifice limitations



- Its designed for a specific amount of condensate removal
- If there is no condensate, then a small amount of steam leaks continuously

Source: US DOE ITP Steam BestPractices Program

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Steam Traps in the Field







UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Steam Traps in the Field













UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Steam Trap Failures

- There have been numerous studies in the industry and one of the more statistically accepted “rule of thumb” is that 10% of traps fail every year
- This depends on several factors and can be very industry specific also
- The main failure modes are:
  - Failed closed
  - Failed open
  - Failed partially leaking or partially closed
- Failed open and failed closed result in the greatest system impacts
  - These failure modes are the most readily recognized
  - These failures should be of first priority

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Steam Trap Investigation for Performance

- There are several methods for investigating steam trap performance
  - Visual
  - Acoustic
  - Thermal
- Most times, using only one method maybe inconclusive – so the following is recommended
  - Combination of methods
  - Additional process or system information, is required
- New state-of-the-art in-trap (real-time) monitoring is available for some steam traps

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Visual Steam Trap Investigation

- Limited in applicability
  - Most condensate systems are closed
  - Safety and practicality limit the use of this method
- Individual trap operation and application must be understood
  - Intermittent
  - Continuous
- Several traps can return condensate via a cascaded condensate return system – condensate receiver vent becomes the point of visual inspection

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Acoustic Steam Trap Investigation

- Many instruments are available
  - Screw driver
  - Stethoscope
  - Ultrasonic devices
- Individual trap operation and application must be understood
- Ultrasonic sensing is typically the most practical
- Some manufacturers have tools that can take the acoustic signature of steam flow through the trap and use that information to detect failure

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Thermal Steam Trap Investigation

- Many instruments are available
  - Temperature stick
  - Infra-red temperature gun
  - Infra-red thermography camera
- Individual trap operation and application must be understood
- Data can be inconclusive
  - Condensate and steam will take a temperature drop while going through an orifice – hence, difficult to say if trap is failed open!

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Steam Trap Survey - Condensate Recovery Investigation

- Is condensate being recovered?
- Is the condensate recovered *to the boilers* with the greatest practical thermal energy?
- Does the condensate recovery system place excessive backpressure on the traps?
- Is flash steam recovery applicable?
- Design the condensate recovery system for the greatest effectiveness



Source: US DOE ITP Steam BestPractices Program

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Steam Trap Installation

- Each trap must be installed properly
- Non-condensable gas and startup considerations must be targeted
- The condensate collection system must be considered
  - Backpressure considerations
  - Lift considerations
  - Two-phase flow considerations

Source: US DOE ITP Steam BestPractices Program

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Effective Steam Trap Management Program

- Maintain a steam trap database
  - Type of trap, model number, size, etc
  - Application
  - Energy loss if failed open
  - Problems if failed closed
  - When was the last recorded failure, repair
- Prioritize repairs based on loss estimates and criticality of steam system and production operations
- Daily monitor receiver vents
- Inspect all traps at least once a year
- Trap maintenance training is essential

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Steam Trap Savings Analysis

- 1st method
  - Use SSAT to predict savings – preliminary estimate
  - Number of traps & last maintenance done
- 2nd method
  - Use SSAT to provide savings
  - Number of failed traps
- 3rd method
  - Use orifice size and calculate steam leak flow
  - Use SSAT – Project 1 as demand savings
  - Most accurate method – especially for cogeneration systems

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

SSAT Project 16 - Savings Analysis

- 1st method (Option 1)
  - Use SSAT to predict savings – preliminary estimate
  - Number of traps & last maintenance done
  - Very GROSS Estimate

Project 16 - Steam Trap Losses
Losses calculated from user-defined data

Do you wish to model the impact of a maintenance program? Option 1 - Yes, model to estimate new loss values

Note: For Option 1, the model estimates a new trap failure rate. The rate reported is for 6 months after the maintenance program is carried out

Option 2 - Trap failures on HP header	5	Failures > Current - Model will use 0
Option 2 - Trap failures on MP header	5	Failures > Current - Model will use 0
Option 2 - Trap failures on LP header	5	Failures > Current - Model will use 0

Note: Calculated values based on current user inputs are:-
HP header - Trap failures: 0, Loss per trap 0.034 t/h - Total trap loss = 0.00 t/h.
MP header - Trap failures: 0, Loss per trap 0.014 t/h - Total trap loss = 0.00 t/h.
LP header - Trap failures: 0, Loss per trap 0.003 t/h - Total trap loss = 0.00 t/h.

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

SSAT Project 16 - Savings Analysis

- 2nd method (Option 2)
  - Use SSAT to provide savings
  - Number of failed traps
  - A GROSS estimate

Project 16 - Steam Trap Losses
Losses estimated automatically by model - Last maintenance program 3-5 years ago

Do you wish to model the impact of a maintenance program?	Option 2 - Yes, enter new number of failed traps

Note: For Option 1, the model estimates a new trap failure rate. The rate reported is for 6 months after the maintenance program is carried out

→ **Option 2** - Trap failures on HP header	5	←
→ **Option 2** - Trap failures on MP header	5	←
→ **Option 2** - Trap failures on LP header	5	←

Note: Calculated values based on current user inputs are:-
HP header - Trap failures: 5, Loss per trap 0.034 t/h - Total trap loss = 0.17 t/h.
MP header - Trap failures: 5, Loss per trap 0.014 t/h - Total trap loss = 0.07 t/h.
LP header - Trap failures: 5, Loss per trap 0.003 t/h - Total trap loss = 0.02 t/h.

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

SSAT Project 1 - Steam Trap Savings Analysis

- 3rd Method – most accurate
- Work with open failed steam traps and prepare a list of traps failed at each pressure level

$$m_{steam} = 0.695 \times A_{orifice} \times P_{steam}$$

Project 1 - Steam Demand Savings (Changing the process steam requirements)
Current use - HP: 25 t/h (12946 kW) MP: 50 t/h (27751 kW) LP: 100 t/h (57560 kW)

Do you wish to specify steam demand savings?	Yes

If yes, enter HP steam saving	0 t/h	
If yes, enter MP steam saving	0 t/h	
If yes, enter LP steam saving	0 t/h	

Note: A negative saving can be entered to model an increase in steam demand

Note: The savings have been converted to heat duties of 0 kW (HP), 0 kW (MP) and 0 kW (LP) based on current header enthalpies

Note: These heat duties are then used to determine the actual flow change in the Projects Model based on the calculated header enthalpies

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Key Points / Action Items

1. *There are different kinds of steam traps and hence, functionality and principles of operation must be understood*
2. *Major steam trap failure modes - open / closed*
3. *An effective steam trap management program must be in place*
4. *There are several commercially available tools for steam trap investigations*
5. *Conduct a steam trap audit at least once a year and repair/replace defective traps*
6. *Steam trap manufacturers are a valuable resource*

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Condensate Recovery

- Condensate is produced after steam has transferred all its thermal energy and condensed into water
- Nevertheless, there is significant thermal energy in condensate
- Every unit of condensate returned implies one less unit of make-up required
- Returning condensate
  - Reduces energy (steam required) in deaerator
  - Reduces make-up water
  - Reduces chemicals for water treatment
  - Reduces quenching water
  - May reduce blowdown

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Condensate Recovery

- Condensate typically has worth
  - Energy
  - Make-up water reduction
    - This generally improves feedwater quality
      - Resulting in a reduction in boiler blowdown
  - Chemical
- Condensate recovery costs generally center on the recovery system piping
  - Recovery equipment
  - Return piping

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Condensate Recovery

- Condensate receivers serving “areas” can reduce project costs
- Condensate receivers and flash tanks serve to reduce the amount of steam entering the condensate return piping reducing flow restriction problems
- Contaminated condensate is a critical issue
- Receiver vents are indicative of trap failures
- Pump NPSH issues must be investigated

Source: US DOE ITP Steam BestPractices Program

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Condensate Return Example

- Measured condensate temperature 100° C.
- Condensate flow measured by bucket and stopwatch (mass and energy balance is also a common method) to be 50 litres/minute



Source: US DOE ITP Steam BestPractices Program

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Condensate Return Example



Source: US DOE ITP Steam BestPractices Program

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Condensate Return Example

- Enthalpy of condensate: 293.1 kJ/kg
- Enthalpy of make-up: 83.9 kJ/kg

} From Steam Tables

- Condensate flow rate: 50 litres/min

$$m_{condensate} = 50 \times 977.8 \times \frac{1}{1000} \times \frac{1}{60} = 0.81 \frac{kg}{s}$$

$$Q_{condensate} = m_{condensate} \times \left(h_{condensate} - h_{makeup}\right)$$

$$Q_{condensate} = 0.81 \times \left(293.1 - 83.9\right) = 169.5\, kW$$

$$Q_{system} = Q_{condensate} \times \frac{1}{\eta_{boiler}} = 169.5 \times \frac{1}{0.80} = 212\, kW$$

$$Energy\ Savings = 212 \times 3{,}600 \times \frac{1}{40{,}144} \times 0.52 \times 8{,}760 \approx \$86{,}600$$

Savings from returning condensate

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

SSAT Project 13 - Condensate Return Savings

Process Condensate	Input Data	Warnings
Condensate return temperature to tank	70 °C	
HP condensate recovery	50 %	
MP condensate recovery	50 %	
LP condensate recovery	50 %	

Note: Condensate recovery specified as the percentage of steam supplied to the processes at each level



UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

SSAT Project 13 – Condensate Return Savings

- Note that SSAT requires condensate return input as a percent of steam supplied to the process at each header level
  - Manual calculations will be needed to get to the new value of condensate returned
    - Steam demand on LP = 70 Tph
    - Current condensate returned = 50%
    - Current condensate returned = 35 Tph
    - Additional condensate = 0.81 kg/s = 2.92 Tph
    - New condensate return = 35 + 2.92 = 37.92 Tph
    - New condensate return = 37.92 / 70 = 54.17%

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

SSAT Project 13 – Condensate Return Savings

Project 13 - Condensate Recovery
Currently recover 50% of HP, 50% of MP and 50% of LP at 70°C

Do you wish to specify new condensate recovery rates?	Yes

→ If yes, enter new HP condensate recovery	50 %	←
→ If yes, enter new MP condensate recovery	50 %	←
→ If yes, enter new LP condensate recovery	54.17 %	←

Note: Condensate return temperature will be assumed to be 70°C as for the current operation

- Note that SSAT needs information of condensate recovery on all the headers even though the project being modeled is only on one of the headers
  - Other headers should have the same numbers as the “Input” page

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

SSAT Project 13 – Condensate Return Savings



UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

SSAT Project 13 – Condensate Return Savings

Results Summary

SSAT Default 3 Header Metric Model Moldova Ex 5

Model Status : OK

Cost Summary ($ '000s/yr)	Current Operation	After Projects	Reduction	
Power Cost	6,132	6,132	0	0.0%
Fuel Cost	55,289	55,206	83	0.1%
Make-Up Water Cost	1,086	1,042	44	4.0%
Total Cost (in $ '000s/yr)	**62,507**	**62,380**	**126**	**0.2%**

On-Site Emissions	Current Operation	After Projects	Reduction	
CO2 Emissions	221739 t/yr	221408 t/yr	332 t/yr	0.1%
SOx Emissions	0 t/yr	0 t/yr	0 t/yr	N/A
NOx Emissions	439 t/yr	438 t/yr	1 t/yr	0.1%

Utility Balance	Current Operation	After Projects	Reduction	
Power Generation	2000 kW	2000 kW	-	-
Power Import	5000 kW	5000 kW	0 kW	0.0%
Total Site Electrical Demand	7000 kW	7000 kW	-	-
Boiler Duty	140763 kW	140552 kW	211 kW	0.1%
Fuel Type	Natural Gas	Natural Gas	-	-
Fuel Consumption	12623.1 Nm3/h	12604.2 Nm3/h	18.9 Nm3/h	0.1%
Boiler Steam Flow	150.1 t/h	149.9 t/h	0.2 t/h	0.1%
Fuel Cost (in $/MWh)	44.84	44.84	-	-
Power Cost (as $/MWh)	140.00	140.00	-	-
Make-Up Water Flow	73 m3/h	70 m3/h	3 m3/h	4.0%

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Cascade Condensate Systems



Source: US DOE ITP Steam BestPractices Program

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

SSAT Projects 14 & 15 - Condensate Flash Tanks

Project 14 - Condensate Flash to MP
Not currently installed

Do you wish to modify the MP condensate flash system?	Yes, install condensate flash to MP

Project 15 - Condensate Flash to LP
Not currently installed

Do you wish to modify the LP condensate flash system?	Yes, install condensate flash to LP



UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

SSAT Projects 14 & 15 – Condensate Flash Tanks



UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

SSAT Project 14 & 15 – Condensate Flash Tanks

Results Summary

SSAT Default 3 Header Metric Model Moldova Ex 7

Model Status : OK

Cost Summary ($ '000s/yr)	Current Operation	After Projects	Reduction	
Power Cost	6,132	6,132	0	0.0%
Fuel Cost	55,289	54,024	1,265	2.3%
Make-Up Water Cost	1,086	1,087	-2	-0.1%
Total Cost (in $ '000s/yr)	**62,507**	**61,243**	**1,264**	**2.0%**

On-Site Emissions	Current Operation	After Projects	Reduction	
CO2 Emissions	221739 t/yr	216665 t/yr	5075 t/yr	2.3%
SOx Emissions	0 t/yr	0 t/yr	0 t/yr	N/A
NOx Emissions	439 t/yr	429 t/yr	10 t/yr	2.3%

Utility Balance	Current Operation	After Projects	Reduction	
Power Generation	2000 kW	2000 kW	-	-
Power Import	5000 kW	5000 kW	0 kW	0.0%
Total Site Electrical Demand	7000 kW	7000 kW	-	-
Boiler Duty	140763 kW	137542 kW	3221 kW	2.3%
Fuel Type	Natural Gas	Natural Gas	-	-
Fuel Consumption	12623.1 Nm3/h	12334.2 Nm3/h	288.9 Nm3/h	2.3%
Boiler Steam Flow	150.1 t/h	146.7 t/h	3.4 t/h	2.3%
Fuel Cost (in $/MWh)	44.84	44.84	-	-
Power Cost (as $/MWh)	140.00	140.00	-	-
Make-Up Water Flow	73 m3/h	73 m3/h	0 m3/h	-0.1%

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

SSAT Project 11 – Condensate Tank Vent HX

Project 11 - Feedwater Heat Recovery Exchanger using Condensate Tank Vent
Not currently installed

Modify the condensate tank vent heat recovery system? No

Note: An approach temperature of 10°C will be assumed for a new exchanger



Condensate return Temperature should be > 100° C

- Note that this Project is possible ONLY with condensate return temperatures > 100°C

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Key Points / Action Items

1. *Returning condensate*
   - *Reduces energy*
   - *Reduces make-up water*
   - *Reduces chemicals for water treatment*
   - *Reduces quenching water*
   - *May reduce blowdown*
2. *Condensate recovery is often neglected but it can provide significant energy savings*
3. *Quantify the amount of condensate being recovered in a plant using a simple mass balance on the entire steam system*
4. *Identify potential areas of condensate recovery*

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved

Common BestPractices - Recovery

- Implement an effective steam-trap management and maintenance program
- Recover as much as possible of available condensate
- Recover condensate at the highest possible thermal energy
- Flash high-pressure condensate to make low-pressure steam

Source: US DOE BestPractices Steam System Sourcebook

UNIDO 2012, all rights reserved